

LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP

600 South Avenue West • Westfield, NJ 07090 Tel: 908 654 5000 • Fax: 908 654 7866

Facsimile Transmittal

From: Raymond Garguilo, Jr.

Date: September 17, 2004

No. Pages:

3 (including this page)

Original Mailed: NO

Client/Matter No: TESSERA 3.0-176 DIV

TESSERA/811

• ME\$\$AGE:

OK Palzilon)

NOTICE: The information contained herein is intended only for the addressee identified above. It may be or may include material that is confidential, attorney-client privileged, attorney work product, copyrighted, and/or inside information. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that the unauthorized use, disclosure, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited and may be in violation of court order or otherwise unlawful. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us at 908 654 5000 (collect, if necessary) and return this document to us by mail.

515960 1.DOC

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this communication is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent Office, Fax No. (571) 273-1428 on September 17. 2004.

Raymond Garguilo, Esq.

Signature

Date: September 17, 2004

To: U.<u>S.P.</u>T.O.

Attention: B.K. Talbor

Fax No.: (571) 273-1428 / Tele; No. (571) 272-1428

No. of Pages 2

Docket No.: TESSERA 3.0-176 DIV (PATENT)

: Group Art Unit: 1762

: Examiner: B. K. Talbot

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Beroz et al.

Application No.: 09/942,386

Filed: August 30, 2001

For: COMPONENTS WITH CONDUCTIVE

SOLDER MASK LAYERS

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMUNICATION

Dear Sir:

As per our telephone interview on April 5, 2004, and telephone conversations including subsequent one on September 14, 2004, we have recently realized that DiStefano et al. United States Patent Number 6,324,754 ("DiStefano") which is cited under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being prior art against the above identified matter, does not qualify as prior art.

Specifically, DiStefano has filing date of March 25, 1998, while the above-identified matter has a priority date of March 26, 1998, one day after the filing date of DiStefano. Thus, DiStefano does qualify not as 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) prior art since it was published less than Application No.: 09/942,386 Docket No.: TESSERA 3.0-176 DIV

one year prior to the filing of the above-identified matter. Secondly, Distefano also does not qualify as prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) since it is commonly owned by the same inventive entity of the above identified matter at the time of the invention.

In order to simplify matters, we request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) for which DiStefano is cited be withdrawn.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Dated: September 17, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

Raymond Garguilo, Jr.

Registration No.: 50,930

LERMÉR, DAVID, LITTENBERG,

KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP

600 South Avenue West

Westfield, New Jersey 07090

(908) 654-5000

Attorney for Applicant